UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,269	03/12/2004	Atsushi Watanabe	0392.1881	5867
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 06/27/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ AMENIHE NIM	KISWANTO, NICHOLAS		
WASHINGTON	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/798,269	WATANABE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664		

<del>-</del>						
	NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	r, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>1</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires infinitis from the mailing date of the limit rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as			
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	diance with 37 CER 41 37 must be f	iled within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of Tile Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od. One official Nation of Nam Co.		DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☑ Other: <u>Proposed amendments raise new issues of consi</u>						
/Khoi H Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664	/Nicholas Kiswanto/					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						